

*Asian Research Journal of Current Science*

*Volume 6, Issue 1, Page 283-294, 2024; Article no.ARJOCS.1778*

# **Optimizing Hydraulic Performance in Sprinkler Irrigation Systems: A Computational Approach Using ANSYS-CFD**

# **Nanna Sri Ramya a++\* and Suresh Kumar N a#**

*<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, University College of Engineering (A), Osmania University Hyderabad – 500 007, Telangana, India.*

#### *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI[: https://doi.org/10.56557/arjocs/2024/v6i1123](https://doi.org/10.56557/arjocs/2024/v6i1123)

**Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://prh.globalpresshub.com/review-history/1778>

*Original Research Article*

*Received: 19/10/2024 Accepted: 21/12/2024 Published: 30/12/2024*

# **ABSTRACT**

Sprinkler irrigation plays an important role in distributing water effectively for agricultural fields with the aid of a network of pipes and outlets efficiently. The study focuses on addressing the hydraulic behavior of the flow for sprinkler systems without nozzles using Ansys-CFD. The primary objectives include examining the flow distribution in the laterals of the sprinkler system, studying the relationship between pipe diameter and flow velocity, and determining the pipe size for uniform flow. By analyzing the flow patterns and pressure variations the study aims to improve the efficiency of water application. This study examines flow distribution in the laterals of the sprinkler system through numerical simulation. The methodology involves conducting numerical simulations

*++ Research Scholar;*

*Cite as: Ramya, Nanna Sri, and Suresh Kumar N. 2024. "Optimizing Hydraulic Performance in Sprinkler Irrigation Systems: A Computational Approach Using ANSYS-CFD". Asian Research Journal of Current Science 6 (1):283-94. https://doi.org/10.56557/arjocs/2024/v6i1123.*

\_

*<sup>#</sup> Professor;*

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: nskdr@yahoo.co.in;*

using Ansys fluent. A 3D model was analyzed for flow pattern and pressure variation in the pipe network in sprinkler irrigation system. The present study emphasizes the relationship between the diameter of the pipe and the velocity of the flow. As a consequence of this, the requirement of selecting a suitable pipe diameter to ensure uniform flow can be achieved. Analytical estimations were used to compare the accuracy of the numerical simulation, revealing a good agreement between them with an error margin of 5%. These results contribute valuable insights into the design and functionality of sprinkler systems, particularly in optimizing flow uniformity and water conservation.

*Keywords: Sprinkler network; Irrigation; Ansys-CFD; pipe diameter; velocity; pressure distribution.*

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

The sprinkler networks play a vital tool for conserving resources and optimizing agricultural output. This sprinkler network system is used in agriculture to deliver water directly to the plant root zone. It consists of a pipe network system and sprinkler heads. The effectiveness of this method is to reduce water wastage and uniform water distribution to the larger fields [1] and provide consistent hydration. These systems allow high productivity with less water. In addition to water management, sprinkler systems offer flexibility and adaptability. This system permits the farmers to vary watering schedules and regulate them based on the requirement of crop needs, changes in the weather, and soil conditions. The pipe network is constructed with durable materials and is built to continue prolonged use and significant environmental conditions, involving minimal maintenance over time. While they are highly efficient in delivering water and have financial constraints, the cost of installation is high, and weather pattern sensitivity challenges, especially in areas with variable water and power supplies. Nonetheless, sprinkler network systems remain a sustainable

solution for improving agricultural yield while managing water resources effectively. Typically, a sprinkler setup or system includes a pump and, a network of pipes consisting of a main, laterals, and sprinklers [2]. A typical layout is depicted in Fig. 1. The present study deals with the management of water for irrigation/landscape purposes. Agriculture sector not only fulfils the necessities of the society but also plays a key role in GDP of any country. The study explains the effective, efficient and economic use of the sprinkler irrigation system by replicating the flow of water into a pipe network utilising Ansys-CFD.

#### **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

Several studies have delved into improving irrigation systems through technological advancements. Zhu [3-4] focused on soil moisture sensors for irrigation, highlighting the use of distributed wireless sensor networks and real-time data management to improve irrigation<br>efficiency. Their work emphasized the Their work emphasized the importance of advanced sensor technology and remote communication for better irrigation decisions and future agricultural applications.



**Fig. 1. Typical sketch of sprinkler network system**

The study showed strong agreement between theoretical analysis, experiments, and simulations in predicting the flow-pressure relationship and jet behaviour [5]. Tang [6] conducted a 3D numerical simulation to evaluate the forces caused by water jet impact on a driving spoon in irrigation systems. The study found that CFD simulations were accurate under high-pressure conditions and had a 5% difference when corrected with experimental results, validating CFD's application in irrigation design. Guedaouria analyzed the irrigation systems for a semi-arid region, comparing drip and sprinkler designs. They found that a 15 l/h dripper was the most efficient solution for reducing water and energy usage. Installing one larger pump with a pressure reducer was recommended for optimized system performance [7].

Mateos investigated the design and failures of sprinkler nozzles, recommending brass as the optimal material for nozzle manufacturing based on Finite Element Analysis [8]. The effective performance of brass nozzles and reliability under 2.0 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> pressure conditions [9]. The effects of pressure fluctuations are studied in pressurized irrigation systems, using a stochastic simulation model by Daccache [10]. The study showed that, pressure fluctuations or changes significantly affect the uniformity of water distribution and improvement in the network with a 5% error between experimental and numerical simulation results using Ansys- CFD. Using a stochastic simulation model, the study investigated the impact of pressurized distribution systems in calculating hydrant pressure under varying conditions of on-farm sprinkler network performance [11]. An iterative model was developed to generate the characteristic curves of both the on-farm network and hydrants by Trung. The analysis revealed that, fluctuations in hydrant pressure significantly affect the performance of the sprinkler system [12].

In view of the above literature and results, In the present study, the pipe diameter is kept larger than the nominal diameter. This is due to the fact that solids in the flow may settle down in the conduit and reduce the effective cross-sectional area of the pipe. Therefore, simulation studies were targeted to ascertain the flow distribution in all the laterals. Moreover, it is also aimed at verifying whether the flow is moving with the same velocity from either side of the lateral or not. Hence, the present study intends to

determine the flow velocity at all the outlet points. The present investigation was conducted for a wastewater treatment plant having a flow rate of 5206 l/hr without any sprinkler heads. The laterals were spaced 1.0 m apart, while the main pipeline had a diameter of 75 mm. The diameter of the lateral pipe was 50 mm and 25 mm, and the rise of the sprinkler head was 127 mm. The discharge through a sprinkler nozzle can be computed from the orifice flow formula that is given as CAV, and the dynamic pressure can be computed from  $(0.5 \rho V^2)$  where  $Q =$  total discharge through all the nozzles in cumec,  $A =$ cross-sectional area of the nozzle in sq. m.,  $V =$ flow velocity through the nozzle in  $m/s$ ,  $C =$ coefficient that accounts for losses in the system  $= 0.96.$ 

#### **3. COMPUTATIONAL MODEL**

#### **3.1 Basic Principle of Closed Flow**

According to Bernoulli's law for closed flow systems [1], the pressure in the inlet pipe can be calculated. The necessary parameters for calculating the inlet pipe pressure are the total head between the inlet and outlet pipes and the pipe diameter to be used.

$$
P_1+\frac{1}{2}\rho v_1^2+\rho g h_1=P_2+\frac{1}{2}\rho v_2^2+\rho g h_2\qquad....(1)
$$

In piping systems, pressure measurement must account for the total head, pipe diameter, and head losses, which include major losses (due to fluid viscosity, velocity, and pipe roughness) and minor losses (from fittings, valves, and pipe reductions) which can be neglected in some cases [2]. The major head loss was calculated using the below equation.

$$
H_L = f \frac{L v^2}{d 2g} \qquad \qquad \dots \dots \qquad (2)
$$

Where f is the friction factor, L is the length of the pipe, d is the diameter of the pipe, v is the average fluid velocity, and g is the acceleration due to gravity.

#### **3.2 Governing Equations for Single Phase Flow in CFD**

For single-phase flow simulations in a sprinkler network system using ANSYS Fluent the governing equations [13] typically include:

Continuity equation: This equation represents the conservation of mass and ensures that mass is conserved within the flow domain.

$$
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \tag{3}
$$

where  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid and v is the velocity vector field.

Navier-Stokes equations: These equations describe the conservation of momentum and govern the fluid flow behaviour.

$$
\rho \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + (v \nabla) v \right) = \nabla_p + \nabla (\mu (\nabla v + (\nabla v + (\nabla v)^T)) - \nabla (\rho \overline{u'v'}) + f \dots
$$
\n(4)

Where t is time,  $p$  is the pressure,  $\mu$  is the dynamic viscosity, u′v′ represents the Reynolds stresses (turbulent stresses), which are modeled using the turbulence model, and f represents any external body forces acting on the fluid [14].

In this k-epsilon turbulence model, two additional transport equations for the turbulent kinetic energy  $k$  and the turbulent dissipation rate  $\varepsilon$ .

 $k$ -equation: This equation represents the turbulent kinetic energy and accounts for the turbulent fluctuations in velocity [10].

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho k)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho k v) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} + \frac{\rho v_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \nabla k \right] + p_k
$$
\n
$$
- \rho \varepsilon \dots \tag{5}
$$

 $\varepsilon$ -equation: This equation describes the turbulent dissipation rate and governs the rate at which turbulence kinetic energy is dissipated into heat.

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho \varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \varepsilon v) = \nabla \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\rho v_t}{\sigma_{\varepsilon}} \right) \nabla \varepsilon \right] + C_{1\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} p_k
$$

$$
- C_{2\varepsilon} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} \dots \qquad (6)
$$

where  $\mu_t$  is the turbulent viscosity,  $P_k$  denotes the production of turbulent kinetic energy, and C1ε and C2ε are model constants. The model also involves constants  $\sigma_k$  and  $\sigma_{\epsilon}$  to stabilize the equations [15]. The mainly used model is k−ε turbulence model is particularly suitable for a wide range of flow conditions. It is a popular selection for simulating turbulent flows, including those encountered in sprinkler network systems.

#### **3.3 Procedure of the Fluent CFD**

CFD procedure involves many steps to ensure accurate and reliable results [16]. In this, there are three Phases viz., pre-processing, processing, and post-processing. The preprocessing begins with the geometry where the 3D model is generated using design modular in ANSYS. A fine mesh is created to discretize the geometry and assign suitable boundary conditions to the geometry. The symmetry boundary condition is used in the study which reduces the computational domain by exploiting geometric and loading symmetry condition for enhancing computational efficiency. This approach ensures accurate results by modeling only a representative section of the system. The



**Fig. 2. Procedure of the ANSYS-CFD**

next phase, processing involves selecting an appropriate solver, such as the k-ε model, to compute the flow characteristics based on welldefined parameters. The convergence criterion was set to 1\*10-5 or below it for the accuracy of the solution. The above value was employed to observe the residuals for continuity and momentum throughout the simulation. Subsequently, the post-processing allows for the detailed analysis of results, including flow pattern visualization and data extraction.

The last step is the validation, where the CFD results are compared with analytical values to assess the model's accuracy as shown in Fig. 2. If any differences are found, then adjustments are made to improve the geometrical model, ensuring a comprehensive analysis and dependable outcomes in fluid dynamics research. This structured approach is necessary for advancing the reliability of CFD applications.

#### **4. SIMULATION OF SPRINKLER NETWORK SYSTEM**

The 3D model of the computational domain was developed using design modular in Ansys Workbench. The full geometry can be efficiently generated by modelling only half of the domain, as the other half is a symmetrical mirror of it [16]. This approach reduces computational complexity, shortens convergence time, and improves accuracy by leveraging symmetry in the simulation without sacrificing analytical precision. The simulation was conducted for varying pipe diameters as shown in Fig.3(a) illustrates the geometry of a 50 mm diameter pipe, while Fig. 3(b) shows a 25 mm diameter pipe.

Subsequently, the entire fluid domain was discretized, leading to the generation of the structured mesh [17]. In the process, the mesh was made very fine as shown in Fig. 4(a) and (b). The fluid domain was discretized for a 50 mm pipe diameter with 4,97,586 mesh elements and 51,565 number of nodes. The mesh elements were 4,47,559 with 1,06,334 nodes for 25 mm diameter pipe. The mesh minimum orthogonal quality was 0.89 in both cases. The mesh was then imported into Fluent setup, where the simulation was allowed utilizing single-phase flow analysis.

The boundary conditions for the sprinkler network include a velocity inlet and a pressure outlet, with symmetrical boundary conditions applied at the ends of all lateral pipes [18]. The remaining geometrical boundaries were defined as walls and as depicted in Fig. 5 (a) and (b) for both pipe diameters. Symmetrical boundary conditions are used when the physical shape and anticipated flow pattern exhibit mirror symmetry, streamlining the simulation process.

The next step is to initialize the solution. The number of time steps is to be set, and the size of the step to be taken is to get absolute criteria for convergence as shown in Fig. 6(a) and (b). The solution procedure was extended till it converged to satisfy the required flow pattern up-to the symmetry of the sprinkler network.

Contours of dynamic pressure in CFD are graphical representations that illustrate the distribution of dynamic pressure across a fluid domain. Dynamic pressure is a measure of the kinetic energy per unit volume of a fluid and is a main parameter in fluid flow analysis. Contour plots of dynamic pressure use contour lines to represent variations in pressure within the fluid as shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b) for pipe diameters of 50 and 25 mm respectively.

Velocity magnitude is a scalar field that represents the magnitude or speed of the fluid's velocity at each point within the domain [19]. In CFD simulations, this is often depicted using contour plots where different colors or contour lines indicate variations in velocity magnitude of both the pipe networks of 50 and 25 mm as depicted in Fig. 8.

Velocity vectors are vector fields that provide information about both the speed and direction of fluid flow at specific enlarged locations within the domain as shown in Fig. 9.

These vectors are typically represented as arrows or lines, with the length of the arrow indicating the velocity magnitude and the direction of the arrow indicating the flow direction. The various hydraulic parameters, viz., velocity magnitude, dynamic Pressure, and mass flow rate, were obtained in a 50 mm and 25 mm pipe network. The simulated values for these parameters are presented in Table 1.

The velocity magnitude, dynamic pressure, and mass flow rate were determined for a pipe network with diameters of 25 mm. The simulated values for these parameters are presented in Table 2 for the 25 mm pipe.



**Fig. 3. (a) Geometry of 50 mm pipe network and (b) 25 mm pipe network**



**Fig. 4. (a) Meshing of 50 mm pipe network and (b) 25 mm pipe network**



(b)

**Fig. 5. (a) Boundary Description for 50 mm pipe diameter and (b) 25 mm pipe diameter**



428 6.6942e-05 1.4397e-05 1.3679e-05 8.2877e-06 5.9945e-05 8.2360e-05 0:06:15 1000 429 6.8741e-05 1.4733e-05 1.4063e-05 8.1985e-06 5.9996e-05 8.1757e-05 0:08:20 999 429 solution is converged

(a) **Fig. 6. (a) Iteration graph for 50 mm pipe network**



(b)

**Fig. 6 (b) Iteration graph for 25 mm pipe network**









**Fig. 7. (b) Contours of Dynamic Pressure for 25 mm pipe network**



**Fig. 8. Contours of Velocity Magnitude for 50 mm pipe network**



**Fig. 9. Velocity Magnitude of 25 mm sprinkler network**







# **Table 2. Simulation Values for pipe networks of 25 mm diameter**



## **Table 3. Errors percentage in both pipe networks of 50 mm and 25 mm**



The comparison of both analytical and simulation values with the percentage of error for hydraulic parameters for the 50 mm and 25 mm pipe network is shown in Table 3. In the 50 mm lateral pipe network, the velocity at the point of entry is significantly higher compared to the rest of the laterals, leading to non-uniform flow distribution along the pipe length. This inconsistency in velocity distribution can result in inefficient application performance and unequal water delivery. In contrast, reducing the pipe diameter to 25 mm provides a more balanced flow across the network. The reduction in diameter helps to regulate the flow velocity along the length of the laterals, improving uniformity in water distribution. The modifications reveal that the required objectives, such as attaining consistent flow in the pipe laterals and optimizing the performance of the system, are achieved with the 25 mm network of the pipe, addressing the issues observed with the 50 mm configuration. The other pipe diameters below 50 mm were not considered due to the availability of the standard pipe diameters in the market.

# **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The study addressed selecting the appropriate diameter of the pipe in the network to maintain the uniform flow distribution across all laterals in the system. A comparison made between the 50 mm and 25 mm diameters of the pipe networks showed that the larger diameter resulted in flow disagreements, while the smaller diameter achieved the required flow uniformity throughout the network system. The sprinkler lateral was analyzed through the numerical simulation using Ansys CFD to assess the velocity distribution along the laterals for each outlet. Initially, the sprinkler network with 50 mm diameter pipes is simulated revealing non-uniform flow viz., velocity and dynamic pressure across the laterals and outlets. To overcome this issue, the study proposed that the diameter of the pipe of 25 mm be simulated and found that a flow velocity of 0.4 m/s is ensured in all laterals for uniform flow distribution. The pipe of 25 mm diameter simulation proved consistent velocity and pressure throughout the system, ensuring uniform flow in all laterals and outlets. Analytical estimates are validated with the numerical simulations, showing a good agreement with a margin of error within 5% [20].

This study was conducted on a wastewater treatment plant with a flow rate of 5206 l/hr, excluding sprinkler heads. To avoid the entry of

solids into the sprinkler pipe network, the findings also recommend the installation of a plate settler followed by a disc filter in the primary treatment unit of water recycling systems. Overall, this research shows the effectiveness of the numerical simulation in enhancing the sprinkler system performance, particularly in recognizing the suitable pipe diameters for attaining uniform flow distribution across all the outlets in the network, which is challenging to determine through physical modeling alone.

# **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Garg SK. Irrigation Engineering and Hydraulic Structures. Khanna Publishers, Standard Book House; 2010. p. 1-16.
- 2. Varshney RS. Theory, Design of irrigation structures, canals and storage works. 4th ed. Roorkee: New Chand & Bros.. 1988; 2:134-145.
- 3. Zhu X, Wang X, Liu J. Numerical simulation of a new typed sprinkler irrigation system. Joint Fluids Engineering Conference. 2011;1(1):3841-3845. DOI: 10.1115/AJK2011-24006
- 4. Zhu X, Chikangaise P, Shi W, Chen WH, Yuan S. Review of intelligent sprinkler irrigation technologies for a remote autonomous system. Int J Agric Biol Eng. 2018;11(1):23-30. DOI: 10.25165/j.ijabe.20181101.3557
- 5. Yuan S, Zhu X, Li H, et al. Numerical simulation of inner flow for complete fluidic sprinkler using computational fluid dynamics. Trans CSAM. 2005;36(10):46- 49.
- 6. Tang P, Li H, Issaka Z, Chen C. Impact forces on the drive spoon of a large cannon irrigation sprinkler: Simple theory, CFD numerical simulation and validation. Biosyst Eng. 2017;159:1-9. DOI:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2017.04.005

7. Guedaouria H, Daoudi M, Benmoussa Y, Maazouzi A. Irrigation Network Requirements for Watering Urban Green Space in Semiarid Region. IOP Conf Ser: Earth Environ Sci.. 2022;973:1- 7.

DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/973/1/012013

- 8. Mateos LA. A Simulation study of comparison of the evaluation procedures for three irrigation methods. Irrig Sci. 2006; 25:75-83.
- 9. Warghat ST, Kawatkar KP, Astonkar DV. Investigation & improvement of the performance of sprinkler nozzle by using FEM. Int Res J Eng Technol. 2019;6(4):23- 27.
- 10. Daccache A, Lamaddalena N, Fratino U. On-demand pressurized water distribution system impacts on sprinkler network design and performance. Irrig Sci. 2010; 28(4):331-339.

DOI: 10.1007/s00271-009-0195-7

- 11. Lamaddalena N, Fratino U, Daccache A. On-farm Sprinkler Irrigation Performance as affected by the Distribution System. Biosyst Eng. 2007;96(1):99-109.
- DOI:10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2006.09.002
- 12. Trung MC, Nishiyama S, Anyoji H. Application of unsteady flow analysis in designing a multiple outlets sprinkler irrigation system. Paddy Water Environ. 2007;5:181-187.
- 13. Tey WY, Sidik NA, Asako Y, Goh RZ. Governing equations in computational fluid dynamics: Derivations and a

recent review. Prog Energy Environ. 2017;1:1-19.

- 14. Versteeg HK, Malalasekera W. An Introduction to Computational Fluid Dynamics. 2nd ed. 2007;80-111.
- 15. Hassan Y. An overview of computational fluid dynamics and nuclear applications. In:<br>Thermal-Hydraulics of Water-Cooled Thermal-Hydraulics of Water-Cooled Nuclear Reactors. Elsevier. 2017;729-829. DOI:10.1016/B978-0-08-100662-7.00012- 9.
- 16. Ansys Fluent Workbench Tutorial Guide. 2021;R2.
- 17. Ramya NS, Kumar NS. Analysis and Simulation of Flow over Stepped Spillway Using Ansys-CFD. Asian Res J Curr Sci. 2023;5(1):155-162.
- 18. Prasanna SVSNLD, Suresh N. Estimation and Simulation of Flows into an off-Taking Canal Using ANSYS. In: Advanced Modelling and Innovations in Water Resources Engineering. Springer Nature Singapore; 2024. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-16-4628-7
- 19. Ramya SN, Reddy MM, Reddy SV, Kumar RS, Tanuja D. A Comprehensive approach using CFD and GIS for dam break risk analysis: A case study on Nagarjuna Sagar earthen dam. Disaster Adv. 2024;17(3):35- 47.
	- DOI: 10.22606/dad.2024.170304
- 20. ISO 5725-1:1994. Accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results - Part 1: General principles and definitions.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

\_ *© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: <https://prh.globalpresshub.com/review-history/1778>*