



The Effect of Different Pulses on the Oviposition Preference and Reproduction of Pulse Bruchid, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)

Denisha Rajkhowa ^a, Romila Akoijam ^a, N. Y Chanu ^a,
Ajaykumara K. M ^b, N. Surmina Devi ^{b*} and Gireesh Chand ^c

^a Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, India.

^b Department of Plant Protection, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, India.

^c Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author DR conceptualized and designed the research work. Authors DR and NYC executed of lab experiments and data collection. Authors DR and NSD analyzed and interpreted the data. Authors DR, RA, AKM, GC and NYC prepared the draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i121784>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128403>

Original Research Article

Received: 12/10/2024

Accepted: 14/12/2024

Published: 18/12/2024

*Corresponding author: E-mail: nsurminadevi@gmail.com;

Cite as: Rajkhowa, Denisha, Romila Akoijam, N. Y Chanu, Ajaykumara K. M, N. Surmina Devi, and Gireesh Chand. 2024. "The Effect of Different Pulses on the Oviposition Preference and Reproduction of Pulse Bruchid, *Callosobruchus Chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae)". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (12):368-77. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i121784>.

ABSTRACT

Studies were conducted to ascertain the population growth and oviposition preference of pulse bruchid, *Callosobruchus chinensis* on some pulses viz., *Vigna radiata*, *Lens esculenta*, *Cicer arietinum*, *Cajanus cajan* and *Pisum sativum* under conditions of restricted (no choice) and unrestricted (choice) access. Results revealed that under conditions of choice and no choice test, oviposition and adult emergence was highest on *C. arietinum* (6.84 nos). *Lens esculenta* recorded lowest egg deposition under conditions of no choice while no egg laying activity was observed under choice conditions. Developmental period was significantly shorter in *V. radiata* while it was longer in *P. sativum* in both choice (37days for male and 36.32 days for female) and no choice test (37.10 days for male and 36.46 days for female). In *V. radiata*, the adult longevity was shorter in both choice (7.48 days for male and 5.91 day for female) and no choice (7.68 days for male and 6.13 days for female) test and adults were significantly heavy than on *C. arietinum*, *C. cajan* and *P. sativum* in both choice and no choice test. Overall, *V. radiata* was found to be a superior host with shorter development period and healthier adults while *C. arietinum* was better in terms of oviposition preference and adult emergence. These insights offer valuable assistance for enhancing pulse cultivation practices in the region.

Keywords: Biology; *Callosobruchus chinensis*; oviposition; pulse; reproduction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses, one of the major and cheapest sources of proteins, form an integral part of the vegetarian diet as a rich source of protein as well as essential minerals, vitamins, dietary fibres and amino acids (Singh and Singh, 1992; Jat et al., 2013; Pratap et al., 2016). Hence, they are popularly branded as “poor man’s meat” (Aykroyd and Doughty, 1982). One of the major constraints in pulse production are insect pests which severely infest the crop, not only in field but also in storage facilities which ultimately results in reduced yield, nutritional quality and germination potential of seeds (Karthikeyan et al., 2006; Pratap et al., 2016).

Among the storage insect pests associated with pulses, the adzuki bean weevil or pulse bruchid, *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) is one of the predominant grain pest which is responsible for weight loss and seed damage (Singal and Singh, 1990), decrease in germination potential (Kiradoo and Srivastava, 2010; Mainali et al., 2015) and reduction in the market as well as nutritional value (Modgil and Mehta, 1997; Mainali et al., 2015) of pulses. Infestation of the adzuki bean weevil initiates from the field which is carried over to the storage where they mate and oviposit leading to subsequent increase in their population and damage. The insect is known to breed successfully in a number of pulses which vary according to the variety and eco-geographic factors (Giga and Smith, 1987; Howe and Currie,

1964; Nakamura, 1969; Duraimurugan et al., 2014).

The morphology, size, chemical and nutrient content of different pulses extensively influence feeding, development, reproduction and oviposition of pulse bruchids (Johnson and Kistler, 1987; Huignard et al., 1990). For this very reason, it has been previously found that both infestation as well as biological parameters is different on different hosts. In the course of evolution, these factors or behaviors have been molded in such a way that the available host could be utilized to the maximum in favor of the insect (Wijeratne, 1998). Because of their importance in feeding on seeds, the ecology of nutrition of adzuki bean weevil is of paramount importance which ultimately gets reflected in their growth and survivality. According to Mainali et al. (2015), the relationship between oviposition preferences and endurance of the offspring on seeds would help in determining the oviposition behavior based on seed morphology and also in identifying the leguminous seeds that probably could be used against the insect as a component of integrated pest management.

Based on these facts, it was considered worthwhile to ascertain the biological parameters as well as the ovipositional preference of adzuki bean weevil, *C. chinensis* on five different pulses. The hypothesis of the study was to verify whether the type of pulse influenced the ovipositional preference, developmental period and body weight of *C. chinensis* under laboratory conditions.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five pulses viz., green gram (*Vigna radiata*) cultivar Kopergaon K 851, lentil (*Lens esculenta*) cultivar Noorie, kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) cultivar KWR-108, pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) cultivar T-21 and pea (*Pisum sativum*) cultivar HUP-2 were considered for the experiments. Infestation free seeds were obtained from Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, India and from the Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Shillongoni, Nogaon, Assam, India. The seeds were washed, cleaned properly in distilled water and dried before inoculation. The culture of *C. chinensis* was maintained on green gram for more than 10 generations. From this culture, freshly emerged adults were separated into males and females on the basis of external sexual differences (Halsted, 1963) which were considered for further experiments. All the experiments were carried out in the laboratory of plant Protection, College of Horticulture and Forestry, Central Agricultural University, Pasighat under controlled conditions, where temperature and humidity were maintained at $28\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$, $85\pm 5\%$ RH, and 16L: 8D photoperiod. Biological parameters were ascertained by following the method of Mainali et al. (2015) with slight modifications. A choice test was carried out to ascertain the ovipositional preference, fecundity and adult emergence rate of *C. chinensis*. 20 seeds of each pulse was kept separately in small Petri dishes (200 × 20 mm) which were placed inside a custom made rearing box (60 × 40 × 85 cm) covered with fine mesh to prevent the bruchids from escaping. As soon as the adults emerged, they were isolated without any food to record their mean body weight and longevity. Some of the seeds in which eggs were laid were retained separately to determine the larval and pupal duration as suggested by Devi and Devi, 2014. Both the experiments were replicated for 10 times for choice and no-choice test. Data were checked for normality by application of a Shapiro-Wilk test and visual inspection. The data which did not pass the normality test were log₁₀-transformed for further analysis and adult emergence rate were compared using a Chi-square test of a contingency table (Mainali et al., 2015).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Paradigms of population growth studies on different commodities of grains suggest that there are number of factors involved like chemical cues on seed surface (Credland and

Wright, 1988), previous experiences (Chiu and Messina, 1994), sensory receptors (Messina et al., 1987), morphology of seeds (de Sa et al., 2014) etc. which stimulates the females of *Callosobruchus* spp. for egg deposition on a particular host. In the present experiment, the number of eggs laid was significantly higher on *C. arietinum* in both choice and no choice test followed by *V. radiata* in choice test (Fig. 1A). An obvious reason would be that *Callosobruchus* spp. prefers to lay eggs on the seeds with larger surface area (Mainali et al., 2015). According to Raina (1970), Jakhomola and Singh (1971), Girish et al. (1974) and Satyavir (1980), Singha and Rajkumari (2021) seed size positively influences the ovipositional preference of *C. chinensis*. In this case, the seed size of *C. arietinum* was large in comparison to other pulses in test (Erle et al., 2009). The females of *C. chinensis* did not prefer to oviposit on *L. esculenta* seeds when other pulses were available to them in choice test while in no choice test, they oviposited the least on *L. esculenta* seeds which was similar to the findings of Islam et al. (2007). Certain chemical parameters like levels of proteins or phenols in the seed-coat maybe responsible for significantly reducing the oviposition in *L. esculenta*. Bhattacharya and Banerjee (2001) had accounted that high phenol concentration in *L. esculenta* seeds had fewer egg deposition indicating that *C. chinensis* females have the ability to discriminate hosts that could be toxic for the embryonic development of their offspring (Mainali et al., 2015). Further, the eggs deposited on *L. esculenta* failed to support the development of the progeny. Moreover, size and texture also play an important role in the oviposition of female; the size of *L. esculenta* seeds was so small that it may have deterred the female to lay eggs on the seed (Qazi et al., 2001). The developmental period of both sexes of *C. chinensis* from egg to adult stage on different pulses revealed that interaction between both sexes and pulses was not significant in both choice [$F(3, 72) = 0.20, P = 0.89$] and no choice [$F(3, 72) = 1.05, P = 0.376$] test. Developmental period was significantly shorter in *V. radiata* than on *C. arietinum*, *C. cajan* and *P. sativum* while in *P. sativum*, it was significantly longer in both choice and no choice test (Fig. 1 B). This indicated that *C. chinensis* was able to efficiently utilize the host nutrient content in all four pulses for its development as in line with the results of Applebaum and Birk (1972) and Mphuru (1981). The findings are also in agreement with Patel et al. (2005) who reported that developmental of *C. chinensis* was shortest in *V. radiata* and












Image 1. Population growth studies on different commodities of grains

longest in *P. sativum*. Developmental success of the offspring of *C. chinensis* may be affected by seed pilosity, seed hardness, seed size, nutrients and allelochemicals present in the seeds (Mainali et al., 2015). A thick seed coat and higher phenol content may prolong the development of *C. chinensis* larvae in *P. sativum* (Patel et al., 2005). Presence of high levels of proteins and phenols in the hard seed-coat in *L. esculenta* may be the probable reason which prevented the larvae from penetrating and feeding in to the seeds (Manohar and Yadava, 1990; Bhattacharya and Banerjee, 2012). A significant difference was also found in adult emergence on different pulses in choice [$\chi^2(3) = 8.85, P = 0.03$] test. Adult emergence was significantly higher on *C. arietinum* in comparison to *V. radiata*, *C. cajan* and *P. sativum* in both choice and no choice test (Fig. 1C). Higher adult emergence in *C. arietinum* in both choice and no choice test might be due to the fact that more eggs were deposited on the seed surface which led to higher emergence of adults. The adult longevity on *V. radiata* was significantly shorter than *C. cajan* and *P. sativum* in both choice and no choice test. Though, adult longevity was significantly shorter in *V. radiata* in comparison to *C. arietinum* in choice test, it was

not significant in no choice test (Fig. 1D). Also, adults emerging from *V. radiata* were able to complete their longevity within a short span of time in choice condition. The present findings are in confirmation with Rahman et al. (1942), De Luca (1966) and Varma Anandhi (2006) and Singh and Boopathi (2022) who have reported a short life cycle in *V. radiata* by *C. chinensis*. This implies that *C. chinensis* could complete more number of generations in a year when reared on *V. radiata* followed by *C. arietinum*. The influence of host nutritive content was also reflected in the body weight of adults with heavier adults emerging from *V. radiata* in both choice and no choice test. The interaction effect between sex and pulses was significantly reflected in adult body weight for both choice [$F(3, 72) = 21.11, P < 0.01$] and no choice [$F(3, 72) = 15.82, P < 0.01$] test. Post-hoc comparisons showed that males and females emerging from *V. radiata* were significantly heavier than the ones emerging from *C. arietinum*, *C. cajan* and *P. sativum* in both choice and no choice experiments (Fig. 1E). Food quality is the determinant for the fitness of insects (Moreau et al., 2006). Here it was seen that larvae reared on *V. radiata* produced heavy adults,








Fig. 1. Number of eggs laid (A), developmental period (B), adult emergence rate (C), Adult longevity (D) and Adult body weight (E) (Mean \pm SE) of *Callosobruchus chinensis* on different leguminous seeds in choice and no-choice tests

suggesting efficient utilization of host nutritive contents which we believe may be an index of adult fitness just like in case of pupal weight (Tammaru et al., 2002; Moreau et al., 2006). In conclusion, *C. arietinum* was found to be better in terms of preference for oviposition. *C. arietinum* with a larger surface area might have ovipositional significance over the smaller seeds while *V. radiata* supported fastest development and healthier adults in comparison to other seeds.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that pulse bruchid, *Callosobruchus chinensis* preferred *Cicer arietinum* for oviposition and adult emergence. Life cycle of the bruchid is shorter in *Vigna radiata* than other pulses and adults were also healthier than others. The study signifies the importance of physical attributes of seeds as an oviposition substrate and will probably help in identifying seeds that could be used against the insect as a component of integrated pest management and the resistant variety of *Cicer arietinum* and *Vigna radiata* may be developed against *Callosobruchus chinensis*

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (Chat GPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the faculty members of Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture and College of Horticulture and Forestry, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh for providing necessary insight, expertise and constructive criticism during the period of research.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Applebaum S W, Birk Y. 1972. Natural mechanisms of resistance to insects in legume seeds. In: Rodriguez, J.G. (Eds.), Insect and Mite Nutrition. North-Hall

Publishing Company, The Netherlands, pp. 629-639.

Aykroyd W R, Doughty, J. 1982. Legumes in Human Nutrition. 20th edition. Food and Agriculture Organisation, Rome, Italy.

Bhattacharya B, Banerjee T C. 2001. Factors affecting egg-laying behavior and fecundity of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) infesting stored pulses. *Oriental Insects* 35: 373-386.

Chiu Y J, Messina F J. 1994. Effect of experience on host preference in *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae): Variability among populations. *Journal of Insect Behaviour* 7: 503-515.

Credland P F, Wright A W. 1988. The effect of artificial substrates and host extracts on oviposition by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* 24: 157-164.

D. Singh, T. Boopathi, 2022. *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): Biology, life table parameters, host preferences, and evaluation of green gram germplasm for resistance. *Journal of Stored Products Research*, Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2021.101912>

de Sa L F, Wermelinger T T, Ribeiro da S E, Gravina de A G, Fernandes, K V, Xavier-Filho J, Venancio T M, Rezende G L, Oliveira A E A, 2014. Effects of *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Fabaceae) seed coat on the embryonic and larval development of the cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* 60: 50-57.

Devi M, Devi N V. 2014. Biology and morphometric measurement of cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* fabr. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in green gram. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 2:74-76.

Duraimurugan P, Pratap A, Singh S K, Gupta S. 2014. Evaluation of screening methods for bruchid beetle (*Callosobruchus chinensis*) resistance in greengram (*Vigna radiata*) and blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) genotypes and influence of seed physical characteristics on its infestation. *Vegetos* 27: 60-67.

Erle F, Ceylan F, Erdemir T, Toker C. 2009. Preliminary results on evaluation of chickpea, *Cicer arietinum*, genotypes for resistance to the pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Journal of Insect Science* 9: 1-7.

- Giga D P, Smith R H. 1987. Egg production and development of *Callosobruchus rhodesianus* (Pic) and *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on several commodities at two different temperatures. *Journal of Stored Products Research* 23: 9-15.
- Girish G K, Singh K, Krishnamurthy K. 1974. Studies on the oviposition and development of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F) on various stored pulses. *Bulletin of Grain Technology* 12: 113-116.
- Howe R W, Currie J E. 1964. Some laboratory observations on the rates of development, mortality and oviposition of several species of Bruchidae breeding in stored pulses. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 55: 437-477.
- Huignard J, Dupont P, Tran B, 1990. Bruchids and Legumes. In: Fujii, Economics, Ecology and Coevolution. Springer Press, The Netherlands, pp. 171-179.
- Islam M S, Akhter F, Laz R, Parween S. 2007. Oviposition preference of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) to common pulses and potentiality of triflumuron as their protectant. *International Journal of Biological Science* 15: 83-88.
- Jakhomola S S, Singh L. 1971. Relationship of seed size in green gram (*Phaseolus aureus* Robx.) with the development and reproduction of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.). *Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya Research Journal* 5: 104-106.
- Jat N R, Rana B S, Jat S K. 2013. Estimation of losses due to pulse beetle in chickpea. *Bioscan* 8, 861-863.
- Johnson C D, Kistler R A. 1987. Nutritional ecology of bruchid beetles. In: Slansky, F., Rodriguez, J.G. (Eds), *Nutritional ecology of insects, mites, spiders, and related invertebrates*. Wiley-Interscience Publication, United States of America, pp. 1016.
- Karthikeyan C, Veeraragavathatham D, Karpagam D, Firdouse S A. 2006. Indigenous storage practices in pulses. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge* 5: 104-107.
- Kiradoo M M, Srivastava M. 2010. A comparative study on the efficacy of two lamiaceae plants on egg-laying performance by the pulse beetle *Callosobruchus chinensis* Linn. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Biopesticides* 3: 590-595.
- Manohar S S, Yadava S R S. 1990. Laboratory observation on relative resistance and susceptibility of some cowpea cultivars to pulse beetle *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Indian Journal of Entomology* 52: 180-186.
- Messina, F.J., Barmore, J.L., Renwick, J.A.A., 1987. Oviposition deterrent from eggs of *Callosobruchus maculatus*: Spacing mechanism or artifact? *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 13: 219-226.
- Modgil R, Mehta U, 1997. Effect of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Bruchid) infestation on anti-nutritional factors in stored legumes. *Plant Foods for Human Nutrition* 50: 317-323.
- Nakamura H. 1969. The effect of density on populations in *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. from different localities. *Japanese Journal of Ecology* 19: 92-97.
- Patel V K, Chaudhuri N, Senapati S K. 2005. Biology of pulse beetle (*Callosobruchus chinensis* Linn.) as influenced by feeding of different grain pulses. *Agricultural Science Digest* 25: 254-256.
- Pratap A, Mehendi S, Pandey V R, Malviya N, Katiyar P K. 2016. Pre- and post-harvest management of physical and nutritional quality of pulses. In: Singh, U., Praharaj, C.S., Singh, S.S., Singh, N.P. (Eds.), *Biofortification of Food Crops*. Springer Press, India, pp. 421-431.
- Qazi M A. 2001. Development and monthly percent damage of *Callosobruchus chinensis* L. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research* 20: 183-188.
- Rahman K A, Sohi G S, Sapra A N. 1942. Studies on the stored grain pests of Punjab: Biology of *Bruchus analis* and *Bruchus chinensis* (Bruchidae: Coleoptera). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research* 12: 851-864.
- Raina A K. 1970. *Callosobruchus chinensis* infesting stored pulses (grain legumes) in India and a comparative study of their biology. *Indian Journal of Entomology* 32: 303-310.
- Satya V. 1980. Ovipositional response and development of *C. maculatus* (Fab.) on different varieties of cowpea. *Bulletin of Grain Technology* 18: 200-203.
- Singal S K, Singh Z. 1990. Studies of plant oils as surface protectants against pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) in chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L. in India. *Tropical Pest Management* 36: 314-316.

- Singh U, Singh B 1992. Tropical grain legumes as important human foods. *Economic Botany* 46: 310-321.
- Th. A Singha and P Rajkumari 2021. Ovipositional behaviour of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L) in different pulses: A review *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* 9(1): 105-109
- Varma S, Anandhi P. 2005. Biology of pulse beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and their management through botanicals on stored mung grains in Allahabad region. *Legume Research* 33: 38-41.
- Wijeratne P M. 1998. Variation in egg and adult production of *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.) and the effect of egg density and oviposition site limitation. *Tropical Agricultural Research and Extension* 1: 136-142.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/128403>